

# Primary data - Geological Survey of Norway (NGU)

Mark Simoni<sup>1</sup>, Tom Heldal<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>NGU

20 June 2017



MinFuture is funded by the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme of the European Union under Grant Agreement no. 730330. The contents of this document are the sole responsibility of MinFuture and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the European Union.

www.minfuture.eu





- State resources: all ores with spesific density above 5
- Landowner's resources: everything else (all industrial minerals and rocks, construction materials)

Geological Survey	Resources in the ground/exploration		Annual mineral	
Directorate of mining	Mining/exploitation		statistics	
Statistics Norway	Production/proces- sing of mineral based products		Annual industry statistics	
	Disposal, secondary resources,	Mess!!		

**Resources in the ground – INSPIRE classification (ongoing)** 

- **Occurrence ("showing") point with high** value of ore mineral
- **Prospect** target for prospecting, but rarely quantitative measurements
- **Deposit quantities estimated or possible to estimate**
- **Province** area with high probality of locating deposits

## **Resources in the ground – information sources**

- **NGU own data collection**
- **Industry public data (may be old)**
- Data collected by the Directorate, from concession applications (public) or annual reporting; it will still take a while to get those figures complete
- **Reporting of exploration results from industry** (only state minerals)
- Note: resources and reserves are still confidential for many mining companies in Norway

# **Resources in the ground – standards and reporting**

Mix of standards, much are historical records, not standardized Moving towards UNFC From 2017, annual report with analyses



## Example: gross in situ ore value

### Example: gross ore volume





Ecample: grade and size of known copper resources

## Example: grade and size of measured Nickel deposits





- NGU will remove the word "reserves" from our databases, since:
- Legally complicated: "reserves" are what you plan to produce, and the day a company goes bankrupt they are reduced to "resources". Wrong wording may create problems
- 2. For a public agency, we believe that "resources" and the grade of certainty they are estimated/measured is the best reporting practice.





- For us, UNFC is the most interesting reporting format

   in particular for aggregated data on regional and
   national scales
- It is also interesting that UNFC is now becoming the preferred system for the Norwegian Petroleum Directorate, and that we in the future will see adaption to other energy resources and ground water
- However, needs for translating G-axis to low-value minerals, such as aggregate



Metallic ore and the UNFC G-axis (Note: all historical data has been put in the G4. Detailed evaluation of such data may upgrade the classification.)



# G-axis on metallic ore commodities



Total and available volume of sand and gravel deposits, per county, and remaining years of production.

Next: to include "legal volume" (concession) – then the years will be reduced drastically

	Deposits with sales in	Resource volume	-
County	2014 or 2015	mill. tonn	Life time*
Østfold	25	135,8	54
Akershus	22	193,3	44
Oslo	2	19,8	34
Hedmark	32	153,6	53
Oppland	38	262,2	164
Buskerud	34	255,3	96
Vestfold	15	54,5	25
Telemark	30	240,4	142
Aust-Agder	16	48,7	73
Vest-Agder	18	27,2	29
Rogaland	41	590,1	32
Hordaland	24	201,9	56
Sogn og Fjordane	42	2 680,8	414
Møre og Romsdal	46	209,9	69
Sør-Trøndelag	45	155,2	43
Nord-Trøndelag	53	599,8	289
Nordland	53	1 332,1	432
Troms	14	93,5	119
Finnmark	11	29,3	73
Sum	561	7 283,4	118

Rock aggregate life time

# **Production figures (Directorate of mining)**

Annually collected through online services (penalty for no delivery) Production in t and value (NOK), and nonsalable products

**Only concession minerals** 

**Coordination with resource data** 

New roles; Directorate stronger ownership of data since 2015

# MFA Hordaland county sand/rock aggregate





Eksport 1753.0

Ressursregnskap for Hordaland (12) fylke 2013

NGU have been doing analyses of MF since 1993

#### 20 June 2017

www.minfuture.eu









# The big unknown

Non-concession exploitation (predominantly aggregate from tunnelling or industrial site construction): Should be reported in the future

**Unclear and innaccurate reporting** 

Reporting duty: One company deliver and publish all data on resources (if it is on the stock market), Another need not report anything (like a family owned company) No company utilizing waste from mineral

exploitation need to report

## Loosing information on the way





#### European Minerals Knowledge Data Platform (EU-MKDP)

A simplified, user-friendly and efficient access to all available and new data related to mineral resources through the 'Minerals4EU' Knowledge Data Platform.



DATA SEARCH Search into the EU-MKDP (Mineral Resources Database and Knowledge Documents) to find the best data

Q





View the data inside the EU-MKDP and combine them with other data to create decision support maps

GO



View Foresight Study reports on raw materials supply and demand in the EU





MINERALS YEARBOOK

View data for primary minerals production, trade, resources and reserves; and for secondary materials



NEWS

March 10, 2016 The Minerals4EU foundation, the new private-public bridge for the EU Raw Materials

#### Sector

Following the huge success of the European Intelligence Network on the Supply of Raw Materials (Minerals4EU) project, the "Minerals Intelligence Infra

#### August 24, 2015

## The EU-MKDP is operational

More than 17 European Geological Surveys are serving their national data and over 190 documents related to European mineral potential are available.

All the news

## Minerals4EU

#### EUROPEAN MINERALS YEARBOOK

Welcome to the first edition of the new 'European Minerals Yearbook'!

This Yearbook contains data for primary minerals production, trade, resources and reserves; and for secondary materials it contains data for mineral-based waste generation, treatment and trade. It also contains case studies relating to the recovery of 10 commodities from key waste streams.

Please select the data you wish to view from the one of the following 4 options.

We would be pleased to receive any comments you may have relating to this Yearbook, please send them to Yearbook@Minerals4EU.eu



## Mineral yearbook; reported statistical data from participating countries.

#### EUROPEAN MINERALS YEARBOOK - DATA FOR Copper

BY COUNTRY	BY COMMODITY	BY CATEGORY DATA SEARCH		
	FOR PRIMARY MINERALS	FOR MINERAL-BASED WASTE FLOWS FOR CASE STUDIES ON COMMOL FROM WASTE AND	Production Import Export Resource Reserve Exploration	
Norway		Battenes and accumulators Combustion wastes Discarded equipment (except discarded vehicles and batteries a	batteries a Important information regarding resource data The resource data presented here may have been compiled according to an international reporting system, such as JORC, PERC or NI 43-101, or to a national system restricted to an individual country or group of countries. Where known, the reporting system used in the data compilation is stated alongside the statistics. In other cases there is no information available about the method used to estimate the reported resources and reserves.	
VILIV	VIEW	Discarded vehicles Dredging spolis Glass waste Metal wastes, ferrous		
ACKNOWLED	GEMENTS	Metal wastes, mixed ferrous and non-ferrous Metal wastes, non-ferrous Mineral waste from construction and demolition Mineral wastes from waste treatment and stabilised wastes		

On account of these variations in reporting methodology it is inappropriate to sum the resource and reserve data presented to determine national or European totals because the figures are not directly comparable.

The map shows the distribution of available resource data within the European countries covered by this Yearbook. The colours indicate the availability of resource data (see map legend).

Please click on an individual country on the map to show the available resource data.



# Harmonization

No standard/common procedure for collecting national data

Through European platform: each national database harvested and proccessed to harmonized spatial data

Norway: gradually turning primary spatial datasets INSPIRE compliant